

CASE STUDY SI-4

NATURE CONSERVATION ENABLING SOCIAL SECURITY IN FARMING IN SREDIŠČE OB DRAVI

BRIEF PROFILE OF THE CASE STUDY

- The case study area (Slovenia) is planned to be designated Landscape Natural Park: it includes a riparian flooded forest (~230ha) and mosaic agricultural landscape (~200ha) in a remote area of Slovenia facing demographic and economic decline. The meandering river creates a unique habitat (designated Natura 2000). There are almost no infrastructure (field paths, trails) and a heterogeneous high nature value agricultural landscape. The agricultural policy of the 1970s stimulated intensification (corn, oilseeds). The river floods, washing away soil thus also resulting in nutrient leech. Forest is not commercially interesting.
- Key environmental and social benefits of the case study are the mosaic agricultural and forestry landscape character which also provides for important **habitats** and **biodiversity**; this is also closely related to **rural vitality** as **preserved agricultural landscape** depends on farmers.
- Actors: BirdLife Slovenia, municipality (support), farmers, various local associations, famous oil mill (pumpkin oil tradition), new LAG;
- Governance: Conservation policy (national, Natura 2000), agricultural policy (Direct payments, agri-environment schemes and LEADER); Life project LIVEDRAVA. There is support from public actors too for the project.
- Currently there is no local market for regional products other than small oil mill shop. There is **no accommodation** available in the area.



Meandering river

KEY FACTORS IMPACTING THE PROVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL BENEFITS

- Farmers are divided to some extent, mainly due to negative past experiences and mistrust. The previous attempts to establish a natural park failed due to poor communication. People are reluctant to cooperate economically: small municipality, influence of essentially unrelated disputes; part of farming community is concerned about potential further tightening of obligations (e.g. of the current Natura 2000 regulation) and historical grudges. Farmers see sustainable land management practices as a threat and adopt a risk adverse or a conservatism attitudes which blocks entrepreneurial spirit. This is also linked to age and education level.
- Increasing awareness about the **development potential associated with the natural park brand**. Some local actors plan to capitalise on it.
- Initiative of environmental NGO accepted by local community (proud of preserved nature, special attitude towards river) as an opportunity for economic development through new/transformed practices and collective action (currently lacking), still some scepticism and lack of cohesion to be overcome.
- The EU single market and the aftermath of the economic crisis are pressuring **uncompetitive farmers** to search for **new niches**, but they lack knowledge, capital, ideas and willingness to cooperate.



EMERGING FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

- The landscape park could bring **economic benefits** for the local population.
- **Individual** willingness and ability are key. More positive experiences are needed to permanently stimulate collective action: good practice demonstration, dialogue, **cooperation**.
- Coordinated and well-conceived efforts are also needed for **marketing** and **increasing visibility**, to improve the area's appeal as a **touristic destination** and to promote its specificities through a unique experience.
- Inclusive approach between the NGO BirdLife Slovenia and the municipality.
- Policy: direct payments offset the positive impacts of Pillar 2 measures on biodiversity and water quality by maintaining intensive production.
- More proactive role of agricultural extension service is required
- Adding value without re-investing in nature preservation could result in irreversible environmental and social damages.



